UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/751,098 | 12/31/2003 | Harpal Mann | 134158 | 1335 |
| 35114 ALCATEL LU | 7590 05/29/200 CENT | EXAMINER | | |
| (FKA ALCATEL INTERNETWORKING, INC.) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS 3400 W. PLANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2 | | | NGO, NGUYEN HOANG | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| PLANO, TX 75 | 5075 | 2616 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/29/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------------|---|
| 10/751,098 | MANN ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| NGUYEN NGO | 2616 | |
| | 10/751,098 Examiner | 10/751,098 MANN ET AL. Examiner Art Unit |

| | HOUTERINGO | 2010 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence addr | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | FIRST REPLY WAS FIL | ED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office | te extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months | of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered bed | cause |
| (a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further cor | • | ΓE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | | e issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | | |
| NOTE: The amendments (i.e. "assigning a primary | | | |
| <u>management module") changes the independent c</u> <u>consideration and search</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and | | <u>riat would require luitir</u> | <u>ier</u> |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mphaner amonamone (r | . 02 02 1). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmen | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [| ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil | I be entered and an ex | planation of |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | e because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| /FIRMIN BACKER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616 | | | |